

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

TRANSLATION
PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference ES193901		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/013534	International filing date (day/month/year) 16.09.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.01.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION		

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application <p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p> <p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013534

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
<p>1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).</p> <p>2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</p> <p>a. type of material</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> a sequence listing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> table(s) related to the sequence listing</p> <p>b. format of material</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> in written format</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> in computer readable form</p> <p>c. time of filing/furnishing</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> contained in the international application as filed.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> filed together with the international application in computer readable form.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.</p> <p>3. <input type="checkbox"/> In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.</p> <p>4. Additional comments:</p>	

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application
 claims Nos. 2 and 3

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. _____ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 2 and 3 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

Judging from the technical common knowledge, it is a program that makes a computer to execute a processing. However, contrary to the technical common knowledge, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 are characterized in that “a data structure” consisting of “a message tag” and a “position information tag” makes a “computer device” to “execute” various steps. Accordingly, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 are unclear.

the claims, or said claims Nos. _____ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 2 and 3 _____

the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form has not been furnished
 does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form has not been furnished
 does not comply with the standard

the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

See Supplemental Box for further details.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013534

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																						
<p>1. Statement</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; padding: 5px;">Novelty (N)</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;">Claims <u>1</u></td> <td style="width: 25%; padding: 5px;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims _____</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims <u>1</u></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims _____</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims <u>1</u></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding: 5px;"></td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Claims _____</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Since the matter of using "a step of displaying a connection presence determination screen including a message indicating that said prescribed data will be displayed at a prescribed location when there is a connection to said network according to said hypertext", a technical characteristic in the invention of claim 1, to "determine whether or not a computer device is connected to a network" is neither described in the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious even considering common technical knowledge, the invention of claim 1 appears to be novel and to involve an inventive step.</p>			Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1</u>	YES			Claims _____	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1</u>	YES			Claims _____	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1</u>	YES			Claims _____	NO
Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1</u>	YES																					
		Claims _____	NO																				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1</u>	YES																					
		Claims _____	NO																				
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1</u>	YES																					
		Claims _____	NO																				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/013534

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- (1) Judging from the technical common knowledge, it is a program that makes a computer to execute a processing. However, contrary to the technical common knowledge, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 are characterized in that "a data structure" consisting of "a message tag" and a "position information tag" makes a "computer device" to "execute" various steps. Accordingly, the inventions of claims 2 and 3 are unclear.
- (2) Judging from the technical common knowledge, even if a computer device is connected to a network, situations where prescribed data cannot be received due to network failures or relay servers and communication destination devices being down is presumed. Therefore whether or not a computer device is connected to a network cannot be clearly determined by the configuration of the invention of the present application.